

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

For Office Use only:

Date			
Ref			

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	MRS	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;"> PUBLIC SERVICE RECEIVED 21 MAR 2014 </div>
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	CARTER	
Job Title <small>(where relevant)</small>	---	
Organisation <small>(where relevant)</small>	---	
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	ILLWORTH	
Line 3		
Line 4		
Post Code	LS14 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature	[REDACTED]	Date: 27/3/14

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district. Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

For Office Use only:

Date

Ref

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation. *See water flow*

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section

3

Paragraph

15.7

Policy

Infrastructure *Infrastructure*

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant

Yes

No

X

4 (2). Sound

Yes

No

X

4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

No

X

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The Local Infrastructure Plan (2017) makes some provision for infrastructure improvements in Wharfedale

- The A65 isn't capable of sustaining such levels of development

- Leeds Council is also planning to build 2000 homes in Ardsley (in A65)

- Studies of the Lym have found A65 is congested with bus and public transport services increasing capacity, with 11000 being a bus

- The LIP does not provide any investment into roads

- A long-term of integrated land use is to reduce need to travel - Ilkley is a commuter town - each new home leads to an extra 3 vehicle journeys today

- Resources are being encourage to increase visitor flows to Ilkley but extra homes mean congestion will discourage this

- Parking - increasing independent parking for commuters, older + residents + therefore increasing will compound this need for parking in Leeds

Trains - already overcrowding at rush hour. No committed funding for increasing rolling stock. Little hope for increasing haul lengths/platform. Leeds station congested at rush hour.

Section 5 continued

- Primary schools in Wales vary greatly in resources
- Profound Council recognition need to increase IT skills
Grammar capacity, and an extra tier marked
side it now listed as a potential risk for a time
- + The LIP recognises that gaps of school places could pose
significant challenge to delivery given yet has no
proposal.
- Extra learning will lead to self-structured learning
outside the timetable (additional) → extra content →
negative impact on curriculum.

Alternative ways of using leisure + leisure interests

- extra traffic, poor quality and other issues
- how will take its unique nature, + research from
surrounding villages

Part B

Section 5.3 Paragraph 6 Policy HQ3

Do you consider the plan is

- legally compliant now
- sound now
- compliant with duty to cooperate now

- There has been no attempt to assess local need
- The strategy sets out positive measures for minimising green belt releases, valuing green infrastructure, protecting habitats, minimising additional travel arising from development, boosting tourism - all of which are at odds with the scale of housing proposed.
- Housing numbers have been reduced on account of a HRA but by only 38% in Ilkley versus required reduction across rest of Metropolitan of 56%.
- The whole of Ilkley comes within the 2.5km Habitat protection zone
- no account is taken of Ilkley's policy on high house building plots - re-development of properties has already seen ~500 new houses since 2014 - these are excluded from calculations
- At least 55% of Ilkley new houses will be built on green belt. - This goes against the Government National Planning Policy Framework that aims to prevent town merging who are another

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B. Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Reduce the number of new houses to a maximum of 50 otherwise this will have an irreparable negative influence on the town of Ilkley placing it under an onerous burden as a result of the health town of Ilkley and the medieval town in which Ilkley lies.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?



No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:

Date:

27/3/14

Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPP) : Publication Draft

PART C: EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MONITORING FORM

Bradford Council would like to find out the views of groups in the local community. Please help us to do this by filling in the form below. It will be separated from your representation above and will not be used for any purpose other than monitoring.

Please place an 'X' in the appropriate boxes.